IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	ZHANG ET AL.)	
	ZHANG ELAL.)	Examiner D. Herrera
Appl. No.	10/814,831	ý	
)	Art Unit 3617
Confirm. No.	6501)	A. D. 1. CORROSEDY
Filed:	31 March 2004)) Atty. Docket CS23995RL)
Title:	"Enhanced Voice Pre-Emption of Active Packet Data Services"		

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REVIEW REQUEST

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Sir:

Review Request & Claims Pending

The claims stand finally rejected in final Office Action mailed on 25 September 2007. Pre-appeal brief review is respectfully requested. A notice of appeal has been filed concurrently. The claims were not amended after the final rejection was mailed. Claims 1-13 and 15-18 are currently pending.

Rejection Summary

Claims 1-18 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2003/0232629 (Jang) in view of EP 1161036 (Kuusinen). The Examiner concedes that Jang does not disclose

ZHANG ET AL.

"Enhanced Voice Pre-Emption of
Active Packet Data Services"
Atty. Docket No. CS23995RL

Appl. No. 10/814,831 Confirm. No. 6501 Examiner D. Herrera Art Unit 3617

suspending initiation of a dormancy timer and relies upon Kuusinen to meet this deficiency of Jang.

Summary of Kuusinen

Kuusinen is concerned with preventing a peer terminal from transmitting packet to a Class B GPRS terminal operating in a circuit switched call while a packet call has been suspended. A Class B GPRS terminal operates in either a circuit switched or a packet switched call, but not both, at any particular time. The passages of Kuusinen referenced by the Examiner fail to support the asserted rejections. At paragraph [0001], Kussinen describes multimode circuit and packet switched terminals. At paragraphs [0002-3], Kuusinen describes packet switched transmissions and GPRS services. At paragraph [0006], Kussinen describes Class B GPRS terminals. At paragraph [0007], Kussinen describes GPRS network infrastructure architecture. paragraph [0008], Kussinen describes the function of a network mobile switching center. At paragraph [0009], Kussinen describes a TCP/IP acknowledgement procedure. At paragraph [0012], Kussinen describes the TCP/IP transmission timer that is set when a terminal transmits a packet. In Kuusinen, the transmission timer is a duration during which the transmitting terminal waits to receive an acknowledgement from the receiving terminal before re-transmitting a packet.

Appl. No. 10/814,831 Confirm. No. 6501 Examiner D. Herrera Art Unit 3617

Arguments

Regarding Claim 1, Jang and Kuusinen fail to disclose a

... method in a wireless communications device, the method comprising:

pre-empting an active packet session with an event;

suspending operation of a dormancy timer initiated upon preemption of the active packet session;

re-starting the suspended dormancy timer upon completion of either a service or application associated with the event pre-empting the active packet session.

The Examiner concedes that Jang does not disclose suspending operation of a dormancy timer upon pre-emption of the active packet session. Jang's failure to suspend the dormancy timer implies that Jang also fails to disclose re-starting the suspended dormancy time, since the timer must be suspended before being re-started.

Contrary to the Examiner assertion, Kuusinen fails to disclose or suggest suspending operation of a dormancy timer initiated upon pre-emption of the active packet session. In paragraph [0012], Kuusinen proposes increasing the duration of the re-transmission timer. The re-transmission timer of Kuusinen, however, is not the same as the claimed suspension timer. Moreover, Kuusinen fails to disclose or suggest "... re-starting the suspended dormancy timer upon completion of either a service or application associated with the event pre-empting the active packet session" as recited in Claim 1. Claim 1 is thus patentably distinguished over the art.

Regarding Claim 7, Jang and Kuusinen, fail to disclose a

ZHANG ET AL.
"Enhanced Voice Pre-Emption of
Active Packet Data Services"
Atty. Docket No. CS23995RL

Appl. No. 10/814,831 Confirm. No. 6501 Examiner D. Herrera Art Unit 3617

... method in a wireless communications device, the method comprising:

pre-empting an active packet session with an event;

suspending initiation of a dormancy timer that would otherwise be initiated after pre-emption of the packet session;

initiating the suspended dormancy timer upon completion of either a service or application associated with the event pre-empting the active packet session.

The Examiner concedes that Jang does not disclose suspending initiation of a dormancy timer that would otherwise be initiated after preemption of the packet session or re-starting the suspended dormancy timer upon completion of a service or application associated with the event preempting the active packet session.

Contrary to the Examiner assertion, Kuusinen fails to disclose or suggest suspending initiation of a dormancy timer that would otherwise be initiated after pre-emption of the packet session. As noted, in paragraph [0012], Kuusinen proposes increasing the duration of the re-transmission timer. The re-transmission timer of Kuusinen, however, is not the same as the claimed suspension timer. Moreover, Kuusinen fails to disclose or suggest "...initiating the suspended dormancy timer upon completion of either a service or application associated with the event pre-empting the active packet session" as recited in Claim 7. In paragraph [0015], Kuusinen discusses terminating a packet connection after a preset number of packet retransmissions are unacknowledged. Claim 7 is thus patentably distinguished over the art.

Regarding Claim 13, Jang and Kuusinen, fail to disclose a

... method in a wireless communications device, the method comprising:

receiving a network control message;

ZHANG ET AL.
"Enhanced Voice Pre-Emption of
Active Packet Data Services"
Atty. Docket No. CS23995RL

Appl. No. 10/814,831 Confirm. No. 6501 Examiner D. Herrera Art Unit 3617

suspending an active packet session of the wireless communication device in response to receiving the network control message;

suspending a dormancy timer after receiving the network control message.

The Examiner concedes that Jang does not disclose suspending a dormancy timer. Contrary to the Examiner assertion, Kuusinen fails to disclose or suggest suspending a dormancy timer after receiving the network control message. In paragraph [0012], Kuusinen proposes increasing the duration of the re-transmission timer. The re-transmission timer of Kuusinen, however, is not the same as the claimed suspension timer. In paragraph [0015], Kuusinen discusses terminating a packet connection after a preset number of packet re-transmissions are unacknowledged. Claim 13 is thus patentably distinguished over the art.

Prayer For Relief

In view of the discussion above, the Claims of the present application are in condition for allowance. Kindly withdraw the rejections and allow this application to issue as a United States Patent without further delay.

Respectfully submitted,

/ ROLAND K. BOWLER II /

ROLAND K. BOWLER II 26 Nov. 2007 REG. No. 33,477

TELEPHONE NO. (847) 523-3978 FACSIMILE NO. (847) 523-2350

MOTOROLA, INC.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. (RKB)
600 NORTH U.S. HIGHWAY 45, W4-37Q
LIBERTYVILLE, ILLINOIS 60048